The Role of Diacylglycerol Lipase in Constitutive and Angiotensin AT1 Receptor-stimulated Cannabinoid CB1 Receptor Activity*
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The cannabinoid CB1 receptor (CB1R) is a G protein-coupled receptor, which couples to the G\textsubscript{i,0} family of heterotrimeric G proteins. The receptor displays both basal and agonist-induced signaling and internalization. Although basal activity of CB1Rs is attributed to constitutive (agonist-independent) receptor activity, studies in neurons suggested a role of postsynaptic endocannabinoid (eCB) release in the persistent activity of presynaptic CB1Rs. To elucidate the role of eCBs in basal CB1R activity, we have investigated the role of diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL) in this process in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, which are not targeted specifically with eCBs. Agonist-induced G protein activation was determined by detecting dissociation G protein subunits expressed in CHO cells with bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET), after labeling the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) subunits with Renilla luciferase and enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP), respectively. Precipitation of the cells with tetrhydrodipstatin (THL), a known inhibitor of DAGLs, caused inhibition of the basal activity of CB1R. Moreover, precipitation of CHO and cultured hippocampal neurons with THL increased the number of CB1Rs on the cell membrane, which reflects its inhibitory action on CB1R internalization in non-stimulated cells. In CHO cells co-expressing CB1R and angiotensin AT\(_1\) receptors, angiotensin II-induced \( G \) protein activation that was blocked by both a CB1R antagonist and THL. These data indicate that cell-derived eCB mediators have a general role in the basal activity of CB1Rs in non-neural cells and neurons, and that this mechanism can be stimulated by AT\(_1\) receptor activation.
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detected in the somatodendritic region of isolated GABAergic neurons (10), which cannot be explained by postsynaptic regulation by cannabinoids and homosynaptic regulation.

To elucidate the intrinsic properties and the role of eCB release in the basal signaling activity and internalization of CB1Rs, we have expressed these receptors in CHO cells and cultured primary hippocampal neurons and studied the role of DAGLs in these processes. DAGLs are responsible for the production of 2-AG and are widely expressed in most tissues (18). Therefore, we tested whether the inhibition of this enzyme can lead to interference with the basal activity of CB1R expressed in CHO cells. During retrograde signaling CB1R activity in presynaptic terminals can be regulated by eCB release caused by Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilizing agonists (4, 6). Since CB1R is expressed in various cells of the cardiovascular system (19), we also tested whether stimulation of co-expressed angiotensin AT<sub>1</sub>R receptors (AT<sub>1</sub>R, Rs), which activate G protein subunits to detect G protein activation, here we demonstrated with the co-expressed angiotensin AT<sub>1</sub>R receptors (AT<sub>1</sub>R, Rs), which activate G protein subunits to detect G protein activation that the basal activity of CB1R in CHO cells is inhibited by THL, a known DAGL inhibitor. Basal CB1R internalization in CHO cells and cultured primary hippocampal neurons is also diminished following THL treatment. Moreover, we show that CB1R is activated following AT<sub>1</sub>R stimulation in CHO cells, and this activation is blocked by THL or a CB1R blocker. These data suggest the role of DAGLs in the basal activity of CB1R and support the model where this activity is driven by eCBs.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—Rat α<sub>α</sub>–CFP G protein subunit was kindly provided by Dr. N. Gautam (20). Human β<sub>1</sub> and γ<sub>11</sub> G protein subunits were obtained from the UMR cDNA Resource Center. Coelenterazine h, fetal bovine serum (FBS), Opti-MEM, Lipofectamine 2000, Neurobasal medium, and Versene were from Invitrogen. Candesartan was a gift from AstraZeneca (Mölndal, Sweden). Unless otherwise stated, all other chemicals and reagents were from Sigma.

**Plasmid Constructs and Transfection of CHO Cells**—The cDNA of the rat CB1R and EYFP-tagged CB1R (CB1R–EYFP) were constructed as described previously (9). EYFP–β<sub>1</sub> was generated by subcloning human β<sub>1</sub> subunit into the mammalian expression vector pEYFP-C1 (Clontech). α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc was constructed by replacing the CFP coding region in α<sub>α</sub>–CFP with Renilla luciferase. Rat HA–AT<sub>1</sub>R was constructed as described earlier (21). CHO cells were maintained in Ham’s F-12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 100 IU/ml penicillin. For BRET and confocal measurements, cells were grown in 6-well plates (on glass coverslips for confocal microscopy) and transfected with the indicated constructs (2 µg of each DNA) using 2 µl/ml Lipofectamine 2000 in Opti-MEM.

**Confocal Laser-scanning Microscopy and CB1R Endocytosis**—CHO cells were grown on glass coverslips and transfected with CB1R–EYFP 48 h prior to measurement. Cells were serum-starved for 3 h with or without THL (1 µM) prior to stimulation with WIN55,212–2 (1 µM). Following stimulation, CHO cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and were analyzed by confocal microscopy in 20 cells in each experiment.

**BRET Assay**—Energy transfer between G protein subunits was measured using α<sub>α</sub>–fused with Renilla luciferase (α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc) and β<sub>1</sub> labeled with EYFP (EYFP–β<sub>1</sub>). Medium was changed to FBS-supplemented Ham’s F-12 6 h following transfection and incubated overnight. Before the experiments the cells were serum-starved for 2 h in the absence of presence of THL, then detached with Versene and centrifuged. Cells were suspended in HEPES-buffered F-12 supplemented with 1 g/liter albumin and transferred to white 96-well plates. Coelenterazine h was added in HEPES-buffered Ham’s F-12 to a final concentration of 5 µM, and readings were collected using a Mithras LB 940 Multilabel Reader (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). The BRET ratio was defined as (emission at 530 nm)/ (emission at 485), and the normalized BRET ratio was calculated as the BRET ratio for the co-expressed EYFP–β<sub>1</sub> and α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc constructs minus the BRET ratio for the co-expressed non-tagged β<sub>1</sub> subunit and α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc constructs. Data are shown as percent changes in normalized BRET ratios compared with the mean of the four control BRET ratio points before the first stimulation (BRET%).

**Statistical Analysis**—All data are presented as means ± S.E. Differences between groups were analyzed by one- or two-way repeated measures analysis of variance combined with Holm-Sidak test using the software SigmaStat for Windows 3.5 (Systat Software Inc., Richmond, CA). The value of p less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Measurement of CB1R Activity with BRET**—CB1R activity was measured by energy transfer between heterotrimeric G protein subunits. CB1R was co-expressed with γ<sub>11</sub>, α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc, and EYFP–β<sub>1</sub> subunits in CHO cells. Energy transfer was detected between α<sub>α</sub>–Rluc and EYFP–β<sub>1</sub> prior to stimulation, as...
Stimulation of cells with WIN55,212-2, a CB1R agonist, caused a decrease of the BRET signal, indicating that subunits were dissociated during activation of the heterotrimeric G<sub>o</sub> protein. However, following the addition of AM251, an inverse agonist, the BRET signal increased, showing that its change was reversible. In contrast, when the inverse agonist was added first (Fig. 1A), it increased the BRET signal, indicating that non-stimulated CB1Rs exert basal activity in CHO cells. Application of WIN55,212-2 after inverse agonist treatment caused no decrease in BRET signal, as expected.

Constitutive Activity of CB1R Is Inhibited by DAGL Inhibitor—The endogenous CB1R agonist 2-AG is generated from DAG by DAGLs (18). As DAGLs are expressed in most tissues (18), they may produce 2-AG from DAG in non-stimulated cells, which could be responsible for basal CB1R activity. This hypothesis was tested using THL, a DAGL inhibitor (18, 22). Addition of THL to cells expressing CB1R and G<sub>o</sub> protein subunits caused slow elevation of BRET signal compared with control cells, which indicates the presence of DAGL-mediated eCB production in CHO cells (Fig. 1B). Moreover, additional treatment with AM251 caused a lower BRET ratio elevation compared with control cells but reached a similar level (Fig. 1B), indicating that the basal activity was reduced by THL. The time course of the BRET signal elevation could reflect the kinetics of ligand degradation. Addition of WIN55,212-2 to THL-pretreated cells led to activation of G<sub>o</sub> protein (Fig. 1C). However, the inverse agonist effect of AM251 was much smaller than in cells without pretreatment (compare Fig. 1C with Fig. 1A). The percent changes of the BRET signal following stimulation are presented in Fig. 1D. AM251 treatment caused a smaller elevation of BRET ratio in THL-pretreated cells, and, as expected, the change of BRET ratio following WIN55,212-2 stimulation was augmented (Fig. 1D), demonstrating enhanced G protein activation. These data show that basal G<sub>o</sub> protein activity was strongly reduced in THL-pretreated cells, suggesting the role of DAGL in the constitutive activity of the CB1R. Similar results were also obtained in HEK-293 cells (data not shown), indicating that DAGL-dependent constitutive activity is not a CHO cell-specific mechanism.

THL Pretreatment Leads to Reduced Constitutive Internalization in CHO Cells and Cultured Hippocampal Neurons—CB1R internalize constitutively both in HEK-293 and in neuronal cells, as described earlier (9, 10). The internalization can be further accelerated by agonists, whereas constitutive internalization is inhibited by inverse agonists (9, 23). The effect of DAGL inhibition by THL treatment was determined on constitutive internalization. First, CHO cells transfected with CB1R-EYFP were examined by confocal microscopy. In agreement with previous data (9), CB1R-EYFP is localized both to the plasma membrane and to intracellular vesicles in control cells (Fig. 2A). 30-min stimulation with 1 μM WIN55,212-2 enhanced the endocytosis of the receptor, as the fluorescence at the plasma membrane decreased, while more intracellular vesicles appeared (Fig. 2C). In contrast, 3-h treatment with THL resulted in CB1R-EYFP translocation from vesicles to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2B).

To demonstrate the relevance of this mechanism in primary cells, we tested the effects of THL treatment in FLAG-
CB1R-EGFP-transfected primary hippocampal neurons. Under control conditions, CB1Rs in the somatodendritic compartment were constitutively internalized, as indicated by their preferentially intracellular occurrence and the relatively low surface label density (Fig. 2, F and G), in accordance with previous data (10). The THL treatment resulted in up-regulation of CB1Rs on the somatodendritic cell membrane surface (Fig. 2, H–J).

Although constitutive internalization was inhibited, the WIN55,212-2 treatment induced similar internalization levels in control and THL-pretreated CHO cells and in neurons, showing that the internalization process is not attenuated due to nonspecific inhibition of endocytosis (Fig. 2, C–E and J).

Angiotensin II (AngII)-induced Activation of CB1Rs—ECB production in CHO cells has not been observed previously (14). Therefore, we asked whether extracellular stimuli, which are expected to lead to DAG production and/or Ca²⁺ signal, can cause activation of expressed CB1Rs. Since Ca²⁺ signal in neuronal tissues leads to eCB production (6), and CB1R is expressed in many tissues in the cardiovascular system (19), we tested whether CB1R activity can be enhanced by co-expression and stimulation of AT₁R, a Gₛ-activating GPCR, which has a significant role in cardiovascular regulation (24, 25). Co-expression of AT₁R with CB1R and stimulation with AngII caused readily detectable Gₛ activation (Fig. 3A). The AngII-dependent activation was blocked both by CB1R inverse agonist (Fig. 3, A and C) and by THL pre-treatment (Fig. 3, B and C), suggesting that it occurred through the DAGL-dependent activation of CB1R. The percent changes in BRET signals are shown on Fig. 3C. (**, p < 0.01, n = 3–4).
action, in contrast to the immediate elevation following WIN55,212-2 plus AM251 treatment (compare Fig. 3A with Fig. 1A), is consistent with the higher agonist affinity of the AT1R. These data suggest that AT1R can transactivate co-expressed CB1Rs in CHO cells through DAGL-mediated eCB production.

**DISCUSSION**

DAGL activity, responsible for 2-AG production, is present in most tissues (8, 18), and DAG production by phospholipases C and D is a common process in various cell types. Therefore, we asked whether the basal DAG production and DAG activity can result in sufficient production of cannabinoid agonist(s) to maintain the constitutive activity of the CB1R. Here we show that DAGL inhibition in CHO cells leads to inhibition of the constitutive activity of CB1R, and similar results were obtained in HEK-293 cells. Moreover, activation of AT1R, a Gq-activating GPCR, leads to activation of CB1R. These data suggest that CHO cells are able to produce a cannabinoid agonist and that this production occurs in non-stimulated cells and can be enhanced by AT1R, and presumably other GPCRs, which can activate Gq. The effect of DAGL inhibition on these processes suggests that these effects are mediated mainly by 2-AG (26, 27).

Basal CB1R activity has been thought to be agonist-independent, based on indirect evidence such as the lack of agonist production in unstimulated CB1R-expressing cells (8). In contrast, our data show that CB1R constitutive activity is, at least in part, DAGL-dependent. These data are in good agreement with previous observations, which show that DAGLs are localized in somatodendritic region of the neurons in adult mouse brain (18) and CB1Rs internalize constitutively in this region but not in the axons (10), where the DAGLs are absent. Here we show that not only tonic G protein activation but also the constitutive internalization is inhibited by DAGL inhibitor, both in CHO cells and in the somatodendritic region of cultured hippocampal neurons. The basal activity of CB1Rs can be further activated by stimulation of co-expressed Gq-coupled AT1R. Since the activity depends on endogenous cell-derived ligand(s), it can indicate continuous regulation of receptor operation, depending on other membrane receptor activity, phospholipid turnover, or Ca2+-related signals. In cells co-expressing the CB1R and AT1R, AngII stimulation leads to CB1R activation through DAGLs, and this could cause initiation of CB1R-specific signal transduction pathways, which can be different from those regulated by Gq activation. The CB1R activation after AngII stimulation is a novel mechanism of AT1R-mediated receptor transactivation, similar to the transactivation of EGF and other growth factor receptors reported in various target tissues of AngII (24).

These data raise the possibility of autocrine regulation of CB1R constitutive activity and internalization in non-neuronal tissues and possibly in somatodendritic region of neurons. Such a mechanism has wide implications for the pharmacological actions of drugs acting on CB1 receptors in the central nervous system and the periphery. This type of regulation is in contrast to the model where CB1R is activated by circulating agonist in non-neuronal tissues, although the two type of activation could co-exist.
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